United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/557,758	11/17/2005		Gregory L. Branch	110120.402	1471
31740 THOMAS LO	7590 OP	08/09/2007		EXAM	IINER
P.O. BOX 214	66			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
SEATTLE, WA 98111				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1732	
•				. MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/557,758	BRANCH ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Allan Kuhns	1732		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rivill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matt	•		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 15-21,23-25 and 28 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 15-21, 23-25 and 28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar ion is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)		
2)	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application		

1.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2.Claims 15-21, 24, 25 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al. (5,684,055) in view of Grancio et al. (4,386,188) and Rubens et al. (4,693,856) as set forth in the previous Office action.
- 3.Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al. in view of Grancio et al. and Rubens et al. as applied to claims 15-21, 24, 25 and 28 above, and further in view of Kumar (5,223,545). Relevant teachings of Kumar and reason for their combination with the teachings of the other prior art relied upon are as set forth in previous Office actions.
- 4. Applicants' arguments filed July 5, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' arguments appear to substantially mirror the assertions made in the declaration of Mr. Vipin Kumar and therefore these arguments will be addressed in conjunction with the evaluation of that declaration.
- 5.The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed July 5, 2007 is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claim 15 and those dependent thereon based upon Kumar et al., Grancio et al., Rubens et al., Lake and Kumar as set forth in the last Office action because: the declaration appears to imply a narrower scope for claim 15 than is required by the claim language and thus the declaration is not commensurate with the claims. For example, a second assertion of Mr. Kumar is that his earlier patent

Application/Control Number: 10/557,758

Art Unit: 1732

(5,684,055) does not disclose anything about "later" forming a polymeric sheet into a shaped article of manufacture. But the instant claim language (claim 15) is of sufficient breadth that the "forming" of step c) is readable on the situation, as described in Kumar et al. at column 5, lines 17 and 18, wherein a polymer sheet is "formed" into a foamed sheet.

With regard to the limitation of plasticizing gas, it is again submitted that a foamed sheet having a specific gravity of 0.3, as reported by Kumar et al. at column 6, line 1, would inherently have more than 0.5 percent of gas, such that the formed foamed sheet described in Kumar et al. at column 5, line 18 would meet the gas concentration requirement of claim 15.

6.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/557,758

Art Unit: 1732

Page 4

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALLAN R. KUHNS

allen R. Kula

PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732